NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

RE: MDR Tracking #: M2-03-1082-01
IRO Certificate #: 5242

____ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO). The Texas Workers' Compensation Commission (TWCC) has assigned the above referenced case to ____ for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule §133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.

____ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, relevant medical records, any documents utilized by the parties referenced above in making the adverse determination, and any documentation and written information submitted in support of the appeal was reviewed.

The independent review was performed by an Orthopedic Surgeon reviewer who is board certified in Orthopedic Surgery. The Orthopedic Surgeon has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to this case.

Clinical History

Date: July 14, 2003

Claimant allegedly injured right wrist loading boxes on ____. X-rays, bone scan, and MRI reports document no pathology localized to scaphoid or wrist joint. Recent examination of March 3, 2003, indicates full range of motion and normal x-rays. A grind test reproduces a click and some pain. Claimant reached MMI and was released to return to work on 8/20/03.

Requested Service(s)

Right wrist arthroscopy with TFCC debridement versus repair.

Decision

I agree with the insurance carrier that the request intervention is not medically necessary.

Rationale/Basis for Decision

There is no objective evidence documented to support the medical necessity of operative intervention in this clinical setting. There is no documentation of MR-arthrogram of wrist indicating significant triangulo-fibrocartilage pathology. There is no documentation of exhaustion of conservative measures including wrist injection that would provide diagnostic information as well as a therapeutic effect.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 10 (ten) days of your receipt of this decision (20 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This Decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Workers' Compensation Commission, P.O. Box 40669, Austin, Texas, 78704-0012. A copy of this decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute (Commission Rule 133.308 (t)(2)).

This decision by the IRO is deemed to be a TWCC decision and order.